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Lecture 08: The Optimal Control Framework

## 2-input 2-output Framework



We introduce the control framework by separating internal signals from external signals.
Output Signals:

- z: Output to be controlled/minimized
- Regulated output
- y: Output used by the controller
- Must be measured in real-time by sensor
- May replicate signals from regulated output


## 2-input 2-output Framework

$z$ regulated outputs $\longleftarrow$ Plant $\longleftarrow$ actuator inputs $u$
$y$ sensed outputs $\longleftarrow<$ exogenous inputs $w$

## Input Signals:

- w: Disturbance, Tracking Signal, etc.
- exogenous input
- u: Output from controller
- Input to actuator
- Not related to external input


## The Optimal Control Framework

The controller closes the loop from $y$ to $u$.


For a linear system $P$, we have 4 subsystems.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
z \\
y
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P_{11} & P_{12} \\
P_{21} & P_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
w \\
u
\end{array}\right]
$$

All $P_{i j}$ are MIMO

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{11}: w \mapsto z & P_{12}: u \mapsto z \\
P_{21}: w \mapsto y & P_{22}: u \mapsto y
\end{array}
$$



Note that systems, like matrices, are also closed under Concatenation. That is, we can stack them horizontally or vertically.

Note also the signals move right to left. This makes it easier to read the block diagram as an equation of the form

$$
L H S=R H S
$$

## The Regulator

First Step: Formulate the control problem in the 2-input/2-output framework.


If we define

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
z_{2}=u & q=w_{1}+u \\
z_{1}=y_{p} & y=r+w_{2}
\end{array}
$$
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The Regulator

We use a Regulator when we are trying to suppress the effect of disturbances on outputs of the system.

## The Regulator



The reconfigured plant $P$ is given by
If $P_{0}=(A, B, C, D)$, then

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{1}(t) \\
z_{2}(t) \\
y(t)
\end{array}\right]=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
P_{0} & 0 & P_{0} \\
0 & 0 & I \\
P_{0} & I & P_{0}
\end{array}\right]}_{P}\left[\begin{array}{c}
w_{1}(t) \\
w_{2}(t) \\
u(t)
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{c|ccc}
A & B & 0 & B \\
\hline C & D & 0 & D \\
0 & 0 & 0 & I \\
C & D & I & D
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note also that getting from

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
P_{0} & 0 & P_{0} \\
0 & 0 & I \\
P_{0} & I & P_{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

to

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{c|ccc}
A & B & 0 & B \\
\hline C & D & 0 & D \\
0 & 0 & 0 & I \\
C & D & I & D
\end{array}\right]
$$

is not an algebraic operation.
If we were using Transfer Functions, however, we could use the algebraic representation.

## Diagnostics



## Tracking Control



$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =\text { tracking input } \\
e & =\text { tracking error } \\
n_{\text {proc }} & =\text { process noise } \\
n_{\text {sensor }} & =\text { sensor noise }
\end{aligned}
$$

$w_{2}=n_{\text {proc }}$
$w_{1}=r$
$w_{3}=n_{\text {sensor }}$

$$
u=u
$$

$z_{1}=e$
$y_{1}=r$
$z_{2}=u$
$y_{2}=y_{p}$

- When using the Tracing Framework we do not want the output of the nominal plant to be zero.
- Instead we want the difference between the nominal output and the reference to be zero.
- In the optimal control framework, we always want the output $(z)$ of the enlarged plant $P$ to be zero.


## Tracking Control



$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
I & -P_{0} & 0 & -P_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & I \\
I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & P_{0} & I & P_{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}=r-P_{0}\left(n_{\text {proc }}+u\right) \\
& z_{2}=u \\
& y_{1}=r \\
& y_{2}=w_{3}+P_{0}\left(n_{\text {proc }}+u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note the treatment of systems as algebraic objects


Figure: Find the 4 -system and 9-matrix representations $G=(A, B, C, D)$. $F, M$ : disturbance; $\bar{Y}, \phi, u$ : regulated output

## Linear Fractional Transformation

Close the loop


## Plant:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
z \\
y
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P_{11} & P_{12} \\
P_{21} & P_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
w \\
u
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { where } \quad P=\left[\begin{array}{c|cc}
A & B_{1} & B_{2} \\
\hline C_{1} & D_{11} & D_{12} \\
C_{2} & D_{21} & D_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Controller:

$$
u=K y \quad \text { where } \quad K=\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
A_{K} & B_{K} \\
\hline C_{K} & D_{K}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Linear Fractional Transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z=P_{11} w+P_{12} u \\
& y=P_{21} w+P_{22} u \\
& u=K y
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving for $u$,

$$
u=K P_{21} w+K P_{22} u
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(I-K P_{22}\right) u & =K P_{21} w \\
u & =\left(I-K P_{22}\right)^{-1} K P_{21} w
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we solve for $z$ :

$$
z=\left[P_{11}+P_{12}\left(I-K P_{22}\right)^{-1} K P_{21}\right] w
$$

## Linear Fractional Transformation

This expression is called the Linear Fractional Transformation of $(P, K)$, denoted

$$
\underline{\mathrm{S}}(P, K):=P_{11}+P_{12}\left(I-K P_{22}\right)^{-1} K P_{21}
$$

AKA: Lower Star Product


## Other Fractional Transformations

## Lower LFT:



$$
\underline{\mathrm{S}}(P, K):=P_{11}+P_{12}\left(I-K P_{22}\right)^{-1} K P_{21}
$$

## Upper LFT:



$$
\bar{S}(P, K):=P_{22}+P_{21} Q\left(I-P_{11} Q\right)^{-1} P_{12}
$$

## Other Fractional Transformations

## Star Product:



## Well-Posedness

The interconnection doesn't always make sense.

## Definition 1.

The interconnection $\underline{\mathrm{S}}(P, K)$ is well-posed if for any smooth $w$ and any $x(0)$ and $x_{K}(0)$, there exist functions $x, x_{K}, u, y, z$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\dot{x}(t)=A x(t)+B_{1} w(t)+B_{2} u(t) & \dot{x}_{K}(t)=A_{K} x_{K}(t)+B_{K} y(t) \\
z(t)=C_{1} x(t)+D_{11} w(t)+D_{12} u(t) & u(t)=C_{K} x_{K}(t)+D_{K} y(t) \\
y(t)=C_{2} x(t)+D_{21} w(t)+D_{22} u(t) &
\end{array}
$$

Note: The solution does not need to be in $L_{2}$.

- Says nothing about stability.
- State-space systems always have a solution.
- If there is a state-space representation of the closed-loop system, the interconnection is well-posed.
- If we were to use the Transfer Function representation, we would be looking at whether the closed-loop TF is rational and proper
- There exists a system-level version of well-posedness, but requires us to define the extended space $L_{2 e}$ of functions integrable on finite intervals. (needed for passivity, IQCs, etc.)


## Well-Posedness

In state-space format:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{x}(t) \\
\dot{x}_{K}(t)
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & A_{K}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x_{K}(t)
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B_{2} & 0 \\
0 & B_{K}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u(t) \\
y(t)
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
B_{1} \\
0
\end{array}\right] w(t) \\
z(t) & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x_{K}(t)
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
D_{12} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u(t) \\
y(t)
\end{array}\right]+D_{11} w(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

From

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(t)=D_{K} y(t)+C_{K} x_{K}(t) \\
& y(t)=D_{22} u(t)+C_{2} x(t)+D_{21} w(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -D_{K} \\
-D_{22} & I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u(t) \\
y(t)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & C_{K} \\
C_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x_{K}(t)
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
D_{21}
\end{array}\right] w(t) .
$$

Because the rest is state-space, the interconnection is well-posed if and only if the matrix $\left[\begin{array}{cc}I & -D_{K} \\ -D_{22} & I\end{array}\right]$ is invertible.

## Well-Posedness

Question: When is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -D_{K} \\
-D_{22} & I
\end{array}\right]
$$

invertible?
Answer: $2 \times 2$ matrices have a closed-form inverse

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -D_{K} \\
-D_{22} & I
\end{array}\right]^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I+D_{K} Q D_{22} & D_{K} Q \\
Q D_{22} & Q
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $Q=\left(I-D_{22} D_{K}\right)^{-1}$.

## Proposition 1.

The interconnection $\underline{S}(P, K)$ is well-posed if and only if $\left(I-D_{22} D_{K}\right)$ is invertible.

- Equivalently $\left(I-D_{K} D_{22}\right)$ is invertible.
- Sufficient conditions: $D_{K}=0$ or $D_{22}=0$.
- To optimize over $K$, we will need to enforce this constraint somehow.
- The Simplest example of a system which is not well-posed is interconnection of matrices $D_{K}=I$ and $D_{22}=I$.
- This corresponds to audio feedback (Larsen Effect) when a microphone and speaker are placed next to each other.

We now have the state-space representation of $\underline{\mathrm{S}}(P, K)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{x}(t) \\
\dot{x}_{K}(t)
\end{array}\right]=} & \left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & A_{K}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B_{2} & 0 \\
0 & B_{K}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -D_{K} \\
-D_{22} & I
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & C_{K} \\
C_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x_{K}(t)
\end{array}\right] \\
& +\left[\begin{array}{c}
B_{1}+B_{2} D_{K} Q D_{21} \\
B_{K} Q D_{21}
\end{array}\right] w(t) \\
z(t)= & \left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
D_{12} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -D_{K} \\
-D_{22} & I
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & C_{K} \\
C_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t) \\
x_{K}(t)
\end{array}\right] \\
& +\left(D_{11}+D_{12} D_{K} Q D_{21}\right) w(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q=\left(I-D_{22} D_{K}\right)^{-1}$

## Optimal Control

## Definition 2.

The Optimal $H_{\infty}$-Control Problem is

$$
\min _{K \in H_{\infty}}\|\underline{\mathrm{S}}(P, K)\|_{H_{\infty}}=\|\underline{\mathrm{S}}(P, K)\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(L_{2}\right)}
$$

- Also Optimal $H_{\infty}$ dynamic-output-feedback Control Problem


## Definition 3.

The Optimal $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-Control Problem is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{K \in H_{\infty}}\|\underline{\mathrm{S}}(P, K)\|_{H_{2}} \quad \text { such that } \\
\underline{\mathrm{S}}(P, K) \in H_{\infty} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Optimal Control

Choose $K$ to minimize

$$
\left\|P_{11}+P_{12}\left(I-K P_{22}\right)^{-1} K P_{21}\right\|_{H_{\infty}}
$$

Equivalently choose $\left[\begin{array}{c|c}A_{K} & B_{K} \\ \hline C_{K} & D_{K}\end{array}\right]$ to minimize

$$
\left\|\left[\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & A_{K}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B_{2} & 0 \\
0 & B_{K}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -D_{K} \\
-D_{22} & I
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & C_{K} \\
C_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right] \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
B_{1}+B_{2} D_{K} Q D_{21} \\
B_{K} Q D_{21} \\
\hline\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
D_{12} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -D_{K} \\
-D_{22} & I
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & C_{K} \\
C_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{array} D_{11}+D_{12} D_{K} Q D_{21}\right.\right]\right\|_{H_{\infty}}
$$

where $Q=\left(I-D_{22} D_{K}\right)^{-1}$.
In either case, the problem is Nonlinear.

There are 3 ways to linearize this problem.

- A change of variables and the Coprime factorization/Youla parameterization approach (Not discussed, but introduced)
- The special case of static full-state feedback (Also a change of variables).
- A change of variables in the dynamic output feedback case.


## Optimal Control

There are several ways to address the problem of nonlinearity.

$$
\left\|P_{11}+P_{12}\left(I-K P_{22}\right)^{-1} K P_{21}\right\|_{H_{\infty}}
$$

Variable Substitution: The easiest way to make the problem linear is by declaring a new variable

$$
R:=\left(I-K P_{22}\right)^{-1} K
$$

The optimization problem becomes: Choose $R$ to minimize

$$
\left\|P_{11}+P_{12} R P_{21}\right\|_{H_{\infty}}
$$



## Optimal Control

We optimize

$$
\left\|P_{11}+P_{12}\left(I-K P_{22}\right)^{-1} K P_{21}\right\|_{H_{\infty}}=\left\|P_{11}+P_{12} R P_{21}\right\|_{H_{\infty}}
$$

Once, we have the optimal $R$, we can recover the optimal $K$ as

$$
K=R\left(I+R P_{22}\right)^{-1}
$$

## Problems:

- how to optimize $\|\cdot\|_{H_{\infty}}$.
- Is the controller stable?
- Does the inverse $\left(I+R P_{22}\right)^{-1}$ exist? Yes.
- Is it a bounded linear operator?
- In which space?
- An important branch of control.
- Coprime factorization
- Youla parameterization
- We will sidestep this body of work.

