#### LMI Methods in Optimal and Robust Control

Matthew M. Peet Arizona State University

Lecture 18: SOS for Robust Stability and Control

### Example of Parametric Uncertainty

#### **Recall The Spring-Mass Example**

$$\ddot{y}(t) + c\dot{y}(t) + \frac{k}{m}y(t) = \frac{F(t)}{m}$$



- $m \in [m_-, m_+]$
- $c \in [c_-, c_+]$
- $k[k_{-}, k_{+}]$

#### Questions:

- Can we do robust optimal control without the LFT framework??
- Consider static uncertainty?
  - Can we do better than Quadratic Stabilization??

#### **General Formulation**

$$\dot{x} = A(\delta)x(t) + B(\delta)u(t)$$
$$y(t) = C(\delta)x(t) + D(\delta)u(t)$$



### Lets Start with Stability with Static Uncertainty

**General Formulation** 

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= A(\delta)x(t) + B(\delta)u(t) \\ y(t) &= C(\delta)x(t) + D(\delta)u(t) \end{split}$$

Where A, B, C, D are rational (denominators  $d(\delta) > 0$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ )

#### Theorem 1.

Suppose there exists  $P(\delta) - \epsilon I \ge 0$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta$  and such that  $A(\delta)^T P(\delta) + P(\delta)A(\delta) \le 0$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ Then  $A(\delta)$  is Humitz for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ 

Then  $A(\delta)$  is Hurwitz for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ .

#### Theorem 2.

Suppose there exists  $s_i, r_i \in \Sigma_s$  such that  $P(\delta) = s_0(\delta) + \sum_i s_i(\delta)g_i(\delta)$  and

$$-A(\delta)^T P(\delta) - P(\delta)A(\delta) = r_0(\delta) + \sum_i r_i(\delta)g_i(\delta)$$

 $\textit{Then } A(\delta) \textit{ is Hurwitz for all } \delta \in \{\delta \ : \ g_i(\delta) \geq 0\}.$ 

**Proof:** Use  $V(x) = x^T P(\delta)x$ .

### Lets Start With Stability

#### Apply this to The Spring-Mass Example

$$\begin{split} \ddot{y}(t) &= -c\dot{y}(t) - \frac{k}{m}y(t) = \frac{F(t)}{m} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -c & -\frac{k}{m} \end{bmatrix}}_{A(c,k,m)} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{m} \end{bmatrix} u(t) \end{split}$$

#### Semi-Algebraic Form:

• 
$$g_1(m) = (m - m_-)(m_+ - m) \ge 0$$

• 
$$g_2(c) = (c - c_-)(c_+ - c) \ge 0$$

• 
$$g_3(k) = (k - k_-)(k_+ - k) \ge 0$$

We are searching for a P,  $s_i,r_i\in\Sigma_s$  such that

 $P(c,k,m) = s_0(c,k,m) + s_1(c,k,m)g_i(m) + s_2(c,k,m)g_2(c) + s_3(c,k,m)g_3(k)$ 

such that

$$- mA(c, k, m)^T P(c, k, m) - P(c, k, m)mA(c, k, m)$$
  
= m(r\_0(c, k, m) + r\_1(c, k, m)g\_i(m) + r\_2(c, k, m)g\_2(c) + r\_3(c, k, m)g\_3(k))

## SOSTOOLS does not work with Matrix-Valued Problems

You should instead download SOSMOD

 ${\rm SOSMOD\_vMAE598}$  is my personal toolbox and is compatible with the code presented in these lecture notes.

- May have issues with versions of Matlab 2016a and later. Working to correct these.
- Folder Must be added to the Matlab PATH
- Also contains example scripts for the code listed in the lecture notes.

Link: SOSMOD for MAE 598 download

- Also on Blackboard
- I may add features associated with later Lectures in the future.

### SOSTOOLS Code for Robust Stability Analysis

- > pvar m c k
- > Am=[0 m;-c\*m -k];
- > mmin=.1;mmax=1;cmin=.1;cmax=1;kmin=.1;kmax=1;
- > g1=(mmax-m)(m-mmin);g2=(cmax-c)(c-cmin);g3=(kmax-k)(k-kmin);
- > vartable=[m c k];
- > prog=sosprogram(vartable);
- > [prog,S0]=sosposmatrvar(prog,2,4,vartable);
- > [prog,S1]=sosposmatrvar(prog,2,4,vartable);
- > [prog,S2]=sosposmatrvar(prog,2,4,vartable);
- > [prog,S3]=sosposmatrvar(prog,2,4,vartable);
- > P=S0+g1\*S1+g2\*S2+g3\*S3+.00001\*eye(2);
- > [prog,R1]=sosposmatrvar(prog,2,4,vartable);
- > [prog,R2]=sosposmatrvar(prog,2,4,vartable);
- > [prog,R3]=sosposmatrvar(prog,2,4,vartable);
- > [prog,R4]=sosposmatrvar(prog,2,4,vartable);
- > constr=-(Am'\*P+P\*Am)-m\*(R0+R1\*g1+R2\*g2+R3\*g3);
- > prog=sosmateq(prog,constr);
- > prog=sossolve(prog);
- > Pn=sosgetsol(prog,P)

### Now we can do Time-Varying Uncertainty

#### Time-Varying Formulation:

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(\delta(t))x(t) + B(\delta(t))u(t) \qquad \delta(t) \in \Delta_1$$
$$y(t) = C(\delta(t))x(t) + D(\delta(t))u(t) \qquad \dot{\delta}(t) \in \Delta_2$$

**Simple Example:** Angle of attack  $(\alpha)$ 

$$\dot{\alpha}(t) = -\frac{\rho(t)v(t)^2 c_{\alpha}(\alpha(t), M(t))}{2I} \alpha(t)$$

The time-varying parameters are:

- velocity, v and Mach number, M (M depends on Reynolds #);
- density of air, ρ;
- Also, we sometimes treat  $\alpha$  itself as an uncertain parameter.



6 / 21

### Exponential Stability with Time-Varying Uncertainty

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(\delta(t)) x(t)$$

#### Theorem 3.

Suppose there exists  $P(\delta) - \epsilon I \ge 0$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta$  and such that

$$A(\delta)^T P(\delta) + P(\delta)A(\delta) + \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta_i} P(\delta)\dot{\delta}_i \le 0 \qquad \text{for all } \delta \in \Delta_2, \quad \dot{\delta} \in \Delta_2$$

Then  $\dot{x}(t) = A(\delta(t))x(t)$  is exponentially stable.

**Proof:** Use  $V(t, x) = x^T P(\delta(t))x$ .

- Treat  $\delta_i$  and  $\delta_i$  as independent (Usually not conservative).
- If  $\Delta_2 = \mathbb{R}^n$ , then requires  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \delta_i} P(\delta) = 0$  (Quadratic Stability).

**Example:** Gain Scheduling Choose  $K_i$  based on  $\delta$ 

$$\dot{x}(t) = \begin{cases} (A(\delta) + BK_i)x(t) & \delta \in \Delta_i \end{cases}$$

No Bound on rate of variation!  $(\Delta_2 = \mathbb{R}^n)$ • Unless  $\delta$  depends on x.... We have two cases

- Time-Varying Parametric Uncertainty  $\dot{x}(t) = A(\delta(t))x(t)$
- Static Parametric Uncertainty  $\dot{x}(t) = A(\delta)x(t)$

Most of the LMIs in this course can be adapted to either case using the Positivstellensatz.

• Need to be careful with TV uncertainty, however.

#### Popular Uses:

- *H*<sub>2</sub> optimal control with uncertainty
  - Makes  $H_2$  robust ( $H_\infty$  is already robust to some extent).
  - NOT RIGOROUS when  $\delta(t)$  is time-varying.
- Robust Kalman Filtering
  - The Kalman Filter is not always stable in closed-Loop...

### $H_2$ -optimal robust control

#### **Static Formulation**

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(\delta)x(t) + B(\delta)u(t)$$
$$y(t) = C(\delta)x(t) + D(\delta)u(t)$$

H<sub>2</sub>-optimal State Feedback Synthesis

#### Theorem 4.

Suppose  $\hat{P}(s,\delta) = C(\delta)(sI - A(\delta))^{-1}B(\delta)$ . Then the following are equivalent. 1.  $\|S(K(\delta), P(\delta))\|_{H_2} < \gamma$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ ..

2.  $K(\delta) = Z(\delta)X(\delta)^{-1}$  for some  $Z(\delta)$  and  $X(\delta)$  such that  $X(\delta) > 0$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta$  and

$$\begin{split} \begin{bmatrix} A(\delta) & B_2(\delta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X(\delta) \\ Z(\delta) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} X(\delta) & Z(\delta)^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A(\delta)^T \\ B(\delta)_2^T \end{bmatrix} + B_1(\delta)B_1(\delta)^T < 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} X(\delta) & (C_1(\delta)X(\delta) + D_{12}(\delta)Z(\delta))^T \\ C_1(\delta)X(\delta) + D_{12}(\delta)Z(\delta) & W(\delta) \end{bmatrix} > 0 \\ \hline \textit{TraceW}(\delta) < \gamma^2 \end{split}$$

for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ .

### The KYP Lemma with Time-Varying Uncertainty

#### Lemma 5.

Suppose

$$G(\delta(t)) = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} A(\delta(t)) & B\delta(t) \\ \hline C\delta(t) & D\delta(t) \end{array} \right].$$

Then  $\|G(\delta(t))\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_2)} \leq \gamma$  for all  $\delta(t)$  with  $\delta(t) \in \Delta_1$  and  $\dot{\delta}(t) \in \Delta_2$  if there exists a  $X(\delta)$  such that  $X(\delta) > 0$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta_1$  and

$$\begin{bmatrix} A(\delta)^T X(\delta) + X(\delta) A(\delta) + \sum_i \beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta_i} X(\delta) & X(\delta) B(\delta) \\ B(\delta)^T X(\delta) & -\gamma I \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \begin{bmatrix} C(\delta)^T \\ D(\delta)^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C(\delta) & D(\delta) \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

for all  $\delta \in \Delta_1$  and  $\beta \in \Delta_2$ .

### The KYP Lemma with Time-Varying Uncertainty

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= A(\delta(t))x(t) + B(\delta(t))u(t) \qquad \delta(t) \in \Delta_1 \\ y(t) &= C(\delta(t))x(t) + D(\delta(t))u(t) \qquad \dot{\delta}(t) \in \Delta_2 \end{split}$$

#### Proof.

Let  $V(x,t) = x^T X(\delta(t))x$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}(x(t),t) &- (\gamma - \epsilon) \|u(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|y(t)\|^2 < 0 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} A(\delta)^T X(\delta) + X(\delta) A(\delta) + \sum_i \dot{\delta}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta_i} X(\delta) & X(\delta) B(\delta) \\ B(\delta)^T X(\delta) & -(\gamma - \epsilon) I \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\gamma} \begin{bmatrix} C(\delta)^T \\ D(\delta)^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C(\delta) & D(\delta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

 $\leq 0$ 

### $H_\infty$ -optimal robust control with Time-Varying Uncertainty

However, Controller Synthesis is a Problem!

- Schur Complement Still works.
- Duality Doesn't work.

#### Lemma 6.

Suppose

$$G(\delta(t)) = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} A(\delta(t)) & B(\delta(t)) \\ \hline C(\delta(t)) & D(\delta(t)) \end{array} \right].$$

Then  $\|G(\delta(t))\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_2)} \leq \gamma$  for all  $\delta(t)$  with  $\delta(t) \in \Delta_1$  and  $\dot{\delta}(t) \in \Delta_2$  if there exists a  $X(\delta)$  such that  $X(\delta) > 0$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta_1$  and

$$\begin{bmatrix} (A(\delta) + B_2(\delta)K(\delta))^T X(\delta) + X(\delta)(A(\delta) + B_2(\delta)K(\delta)) + \sum_i \beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta_i} X(\delta) & *^T & *^T \\ B_1(\delta)^T X(\delta) & -\gamma I & *^T \\ C_1(\delta) + D_{12}(\delta)K(\delta) & D_{11}(\delta) & -\gamma I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

for all  $\delta \in \Delta_1$  and  $\beta \in \Delta_2$ .

We fall back on iterative methods (Similar to D-K iteration)

- Optimize *P*, then optimize *K*.
- rinse and repeat.

### Robust Local Stability

Search for a Parameter-Dependent Lyapunov Function

#### The Rayleigh Equation:

$$\ddot{y} - 2\zeta(1 - \alpha \dot{y}^2)\dot{y} + y = u$$

Uncertainty:

$$\zeta \in [1.8, 2.2]$$
  
 $\alpha \in [.8, 1.2]$ 



Find a Lyapunov Function:  $V(y, \dot{y}, \alpha, \zeta)$ 

$$V(x_1, x_2, \alpha, \zeta) \ge .01 * (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \qquad \forall x \in B_r, \quad \alpha, \zeta \in \Delta$$

and  $V(0,0,\alpha,\zeta)=0$  and

$$\nabla_x V(x_1, x_2, \alpha, \zeta)^T f(x_1, x_2, \alpha, \zeta) \le 0 \qquad \forall x \in B_r, \quad \alpha, \zeta \in \Delta$$





### SOSTOOLS Code for Robust Nonlinear Stability Analysis

>	pvar x1 x2 z a
>	$zmin = .8; zmax = 1.2; amin = 1.8; amax = 2.2; g1 = r - (x1^2 + x2^2);$
>	r = .3; g2 = (amax - a)(a - amin); g3 = (zmax - z)(z - zmin);
>	$f = [2 * z * (1 - a * x2^2) * x2 - x1; x1];$
>	<pre>vartable=[x1 x2 a z];</pre>
>	<pre>prog=sosprogram(vartable);</pre>
>	<pre>Z1=monomials(vartable,0:1); Z2=monomials(vartable,0:2);</pre>
>	Z3=monomials(vartable,0:3);
>	<pre>[prog,V0]=sossosvar(prog,Z2);</pre>
>	<pre>[prog,r1]=sossosvar(prog,Z1); [prog,r2]=sossosvar(prog,Z1);</pre>
>	<pre>[prog,r3]=sossosvar(prog,Z1);</pre>
>	$V = V0 + .001 * (x1^2 + x2^2) + g1 * r1 + g2 * r2 + g3 * r3;$
>	<pre>prog=soseq(prog,subs(V,[x1, x2]',[0, 0]'));</pre>
>	<pre>nablaV=[diff(V,x1);diff(V,x2)];</pre>
>	P=S0+g1*S1+g2*S2+g3*S3+.00001*eye(2);
>	<pre>[prog,s1]=sossosvar(prog,Z2); [prog,s2]=sossosvar(prog,Z2);</pre>
>	<pre>[prog,s3]=sossosvar(prog,Z2);</pre>
>	<pre>prog=sosineq(prog,-nablaV'*f-s1*g1-s2*g2-s3*g3);</pre>
>	<pre>prog=sossolve(prog);</pre>

# Integer Programming Example MAX-CUT



Figure: Division of a set of nodes to maximize the weighted cost of separation

**Goal:** Assign each node *i* an index  $x_i = -1$  or  $x_j = 1$  to maximize overall cost.

- The cost if  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  do not share the same index is  $w_{ij}$ .
- The cost if they share an index is 0
- The weight  $w_{i,j}$  are given.
- Thus the total cost is

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}w_{i,j}(1-x_ix_j)$$

### MAX-CUT

The optimization problem is the integer program:

$$\max_{x_i^2=1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} w_{i,j} (1 - x_i x_j)$$

The MAX-CUT problem can be reformulated as

$$\begin{split} \min \gamma : \\ \gamma \geq \max_{x_i^2 = 1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} w_{i,j} (1 - x_i x_j) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \{x \, : \, x_i^2 = 1\} \end{split}$$

We can compute a bound on the max cost using the Nullstellensatz

$$\min_{p_i \in \mathbb{R}[x], s_0 \in \Sigma_s} \gamma :$$
  
$$\gamma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} w_{i,j} (1 - x_i x_j) + \sum_i p_i(x) (x_i^2 - 1) = s_0(x)$$

### MAX-CUT

Consider the MAX-CUT problem with 5 nodes

 $w_{12} = w_{23} = w_{45} = w_{15} = .5$  and  $w_{14} = w_{24} = w_{25} = w_{34} = 0$ 

where  $w_{ij} = w_{ji}$ . The objective function is

$$f(x) = 2.5 - .5x_1x_2 - .5x_2x_3 - .5x_3x_4 - .5x_4x_5 - .5x_1x_5$$

We use SOSTOOLS and bisection on  $\gamma$  to solve

$$\min_{\substack{p_i \in \mathbb{R}[x], s_0 \in \Sigma_s}} \gamma :$$
  
$$\gamma - f(x) + \sum_i p_i(x)(x_i^2 - 1) = s_0(x)$$

We achieve a least upper bound of  $\gamma = 4$ . However!

- we don't know if the optimization problem achieves this objective.
- Even if it did, we could not recover the values of  $x_i \in [-1, 1]$ .

### MAX-CUT



Figure: A Proposed Cut

Upper bounds can be used to VERIFY optimality of a cut. We Propose the Cut

- $x_1 = x_3 = x_4 = 1$
- $x_2 = x_5 = -1$

This cut has objective value

$$f(x) = 2.5 - .5x_1x_2 - .5x_2x_3 - .5x_3x_4 - .5x_4x_5 - .5x_1x_5 = 4$$

Thus verifying that the cut is optimal.

### MAX-CUT code

```
pvar x1 x2 x3 x4 x5;
vartable = [x1; x2; x3; x4; x5];
prog = sosprogram(vartable);
gamma = 4;
f = 2.5 - .5 \times 1 \times 2 - .5 \times 2 \times 3 - .5 \times 3 \times 4 - .5 \times 4 \times 5 - .5 \times 5 \times 5 \times 1;
bc1 = x1^2 - 1:
bc2 = x2^2 - 1:
bc3 = x3^2 - 1:
bc4 = x4^2 - 1:
bc5 = x5^2 - 1:
for i = 1:5
[prog, p{1+i}] = sospolyvar(prog,Z);
end:
expr = (gamma-f)+p\{1\}*bc1+p\{2\}*bc2+p\{3\}*bc3+p\{4\}*bc4+p\{5\}*bc5;
prog = sosineq(prog,expr);
prog = sossolve(prog);
```

### The Structured Singular Value

For the case of structured parametric uncertainty, we define the structured singular value.

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta} = \{ \Delta = \operatorname{diag}(\delta_1 I_{n1}, \cdots, \delta_s I_{ns} : \delta_i \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

•  $\delta_i$  represent unknown parameters.

#### Definition 7.

Given system  $M \in \mathcal{L}(L_2)$  and set  $\Delta$  as above, we define the **Structured** Singular Value of  $(M, \Delta)$  as

$$\mu(M, \mathbf{\Delta}) = \frac{1}{\inf_{\substack{\Delta \in \mathbf{\Delta} \\ I - M\Delta \text{ is singular}}} \|\Delta\|}$$

The fundamental inequality we have is  $\Delta_{\gamma} = \{ \operatorname{diag}(\delta_i), : \sum_i \delta_i^2 \leq \gamma \}$ . We want to find the largest  $\gamma$  such that  $I - M\Delta$  is stable for all  $\Delta \in \Delta_{\gamma}$ 

### The Structured Singular Value, $\mu$

The system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= A_0 x(t) + M p(t), \qquad p(t) = \Delta(t) q(t), \\ q(t) &= N x(t) + Q p(t), \qquad \Delta \in \mathbf{\Delta} \end{aligned}$$

is stable if there exists a  $P(\delta)\in \Sigma_s$  such that

$$\dot{V} = x^T P(\delta)(A_0 x + M p) + (A_0 x + M p)^T P(\delta) x < \epsilon x^T x$$

for all  $x, p, \delta$  such that

$$(x, p, \delta) \in \left\{ x, p, \delta \, : \, p = \operatorname{diag}(\delta_i)(Nx + Qp), \, \sum_i \delta_i^2 \leq \gamma \right\}$$

#### **Proposition 1 (Lower Bound for** $\mu$ **).**

$$\begin{split} \mu &\geq \gamma \text{ if there exist polynomial } h \in \mathbb{R}[x, p, \delta] \text{ and } s_i \in \Sigma_s \text{ such that} \\ x^T P(\delta)(A_0 x + Mp) + (A_0 x + Mp)^T P(\delta) x - \epsilon x^T x \\ &= -s_0(x, p, \delta) - (\gamma - \sum_i \delta_i^2) s_1(x, p, \delta) - (p - \operatorname{diag}(\delta_i)(Nx + Qp)) h(x, p, \delta) \end{split}$$